UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,767	05/24/2007	Philip A. Block	60376-USA	2237	
John M. Sheeha	7590 12/08/200 n	EXAMINER			
Fmc Corporatio		KRECK, JOHN J			
1735 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/589,767	BLOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Kreck	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE PROPERTY OF THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	-					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/589,767 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

U.S. Patent number 5,849,201 to Bradley

-as called for in claim 3.

Bradley discloses the method including contacting an organic compound with a composition comprising a water soluble peroxygen (column 6, line 65) and a pH modified which maintains the pH greater than about 10 (column 11, line 11) as called for in claim 1.

Bradley discloses soil, sludge, etc as called for in claim 2---see col. 1 lines 8-10. Bradley discloses at least polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) ---see col. 1, line 17-

Bradley discloses dipersulfate (column 9, line 67) as called for in claim 4.

Bradley discloses hydroxide as called for in claim 9.

Bradley discloses sodium hydroxide as called for in claim 10.

Bradley discloses the quantities and conditions as called for in claim 11.

Bradley discloses in-situ or ex-situ as called for in claim 12.

Bradley discloses addition as called for in claim 13.

Bradley discloses heating (column 10, line19) as called for in claim 14.

Bradley discloses catalyst as called for in claim 15.

Bradley discloses the transition metal catalyst (column 10, line 46) as called for in claim 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Page 3

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradley. Bradley broadly discloses "persulfate" and thus fails to explicitly disclose the specific persulfate or monopersulfate salts.

Since each of the claimed persulfate or monopersulfate salts are well known oxidizers [reference applicant's specification at page 5, line 25], one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have modified the Bradley process to have used sodium, ammonium, or potassium persulfate; sodium or potassium monopersulfate; or combinations as called for in claims 5-8.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradley in view of Lundy (U.S. Patent Application Publication number 2002/0110509).

Bradley fails to disclose the catalyst in combination with a chelating agent.

Lundy teaches the advantages of chelated iron in a similar process. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Bradley process to have included the catalyst in combination with a chelating agent as called for in claim 17.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kreck whose telephone number is 571-272-7042. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6am-3pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,767 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Kreck/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672

5 December 2008